
**MINUTES OF THE WORK SESSION
BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN
FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE
CITY HALL BOARDROOM
TUESDAY, MAY 8, 2012 - 5:00 P.M.**

Board Members

Mayor Ken Moore	P		
Alderman Brandy Blanton	P	Alderman Margaret Martin	P
Alderman Clyde Barnhill	P	Alderman Dana McLendon	P
Alderman Pearl Bransford	P	Alderman Ann Petersen	P
Alderman Beverly Burger	P	Alderman Michael Skinner, Vice Mayor	P

Department Directors/Staff

Eric Stuckey, City Administrator	P	Lisa Clayton, Parks Director	P
Vernon Gerth, ACA Community & Economic Dev.	P	Shirley Harmon, HR Director	P
Russell Truell, ACA Finance & Administration	P	Mark Hilty, Water Management Director	P
David Parker, CIP Executive/City Engineer	P	Paul Holzen, Interim Engineering Director	P
Shauna Billingsley, City Attorney	P	Catherine Powers, Planning/Sustainability Director	P
Rocky Garzarek, Fire Chief		Joe York, Streets Director	P
David Rahinsky, Police Chief	P	Brad Wilson, Facilities Project Manager	P
Fred Banner, MIT Director	P	Steve Sims, Assistant City Recorder	P
Chris Bridgewater, Interim BNS Director	P	Brian Wilcox, Purchasing Manager	P
Becky Caldwell, Solid Waste Director	P	Lanaii Benne, Assistant City Recorder	P
		Linda Fulwider, Board Recording Secretary	P

1. Call to Order

Mayor Ken Moore called the Work Session to order at 5:00 p.m.

2. Citizen Comments

Van Lambeth, DVM, spoke against allowing horse and carriage service in downtown Franklin for the following reasons:

- ↳ Horses run from perceived threats and they zigzag
- ↳ Horses have poor depth perception
- ↳ Carriage horses have collection bags. When in use not pleasant to witness while dining.
- ↳ Horses urinate 4.1 gallons each 24 hours
- ↳ Inhumane to deny horses water and food to diminish elimination
- ↳ Horses attract flies
- ↳ Asphalt can be 50 degrees hotter than air temperature
- ↳ Horses do not get rest periods

WORK SESSION DISCUSSION ITEMS

3. Consideration of Event Permit for Boot Run 5K in Cool Springs on September 8, 2012

David Rahinsky, Police Chief

Chief Rahinsky came forward to display a pair of the bulky boots like the ones the runners will

wear. Alderman Barnhill asked if there was documentation of the 501(c) 3 status and if the Good Neighbor letters would be sent. Monique McCullough confirmed the letters were sent last week.

- 4.* **Consideration of Liquor License Retailer's Certificate (Renewal) for The Corner Wine & Spirits (Guru Dev of Franklin, LLC, Vrushank Ramesh Surati), 1110 Hillsboro Road, Franklin, Tennessee**

Lanaii Y. Benne, Assistant City Recorder

No questions or comments

- 5.* **Consideration of Liquor License Retailer's Certificate (Renewal) for Brinkmann's Wine & Spirits (Timothy Brinkmann and Ann Brinkmann), 103 International Drive, Suite 100, Franklin, Tennessee**

Lanaii Y. Benne, Assistant City Recorder

No questions or comments

- 6.* **Consideration of ORDINANCE 2012-19, An Ordinance to Amend Franklin Municipal Code Title 18, to Standardize and Define Customer Classification [First of Two Readings]**

Steve Sims, Assistant City Recorder

Information on how the standardized customer classification would impact customers was reviewed. Mr. Sims said it is expected to be very close to a revenue neutral proposition but sewer revenues should decline and residential solid waste revenues should increase.

Some customers will benefit from the changes and some will not. The overall impact is a loss of revenue to the City of 77 cents per month. Those adversely impacted will be privately owned attached residences that are not required to obtain and pay for city residential trash (e.g. Morningside, Del Rio Commons, Franklin Housing Authority and Hardison Hills). Those positively impacted will be those with multiple minimums applied (e.g. Generals Retreat, Alara River Oaks, and Viera Cool Springs). The monthly impact per unit is an increase of \$15.

Discussion:

- ✓ Not comfortable with solid waste fees imposed on those who use private waste companies instead of City service. Whether dumpster or roll out cart, under the new classification they will pay for solid waste service each month.
- ✓ It was pointed out that residential customers pay the City fee even if they use a private company.
- ✓ Concerned that those with fixed income and/or low income will get the biggest increase while others will see a decrease in fee.
- ✓ Roll out carts cannot be used in some areas. Why charge for a service the City cannot provide in these areas.
- ✓ Vernon Gerth stated he was confident that Mr. Sims addressed all the inconsistencies. What was done is in line with other communities.
- ✓ Find out what developments, such as Morningside, pay for solid waste hauling. Perhaps the City service would be less expensive.
- ✓ Opinion was the ordinance is not ready for passage.
- ✓ The residential condo is the sticking point
- ✓ Revisit with additional information

7.* **Consideration of RESOLUTION 2012-25, A Resolution Approving 2012 Private Act, Chapter Number 40**

Shauna Billingsley, City Attorney

Resolution regarding the maintenance of public sidewalks.

8.* **Consideration of RESOLUTION 2012-26, A Resolution Approving 2012 Private Act, Chapter Number 41**

Shauna Billingsley, City Attorney

Resolution regarding the prohibition of candidates running for more than one elective office in a City election.

9.* **Consideration of RESOLUTION 2012-27, a Resolution Approving 2012 Private Act, Chapter Number 39**

Shauna Billingsley, City Attorney

Resolution regarding the office of Vice Mayor and vacancies in office.

10.* **Review Results of Solicitation for Bids for Municipal Solid Waste Disposal Services, Either Including or Excluding Transport Services, for an Initial Term of Service of Two (2) Years, Effective July 1, 2012 and Through June 30, 2014, with Four (4) Options to Extend the Term of Service, Each Time for Up to Three (3) Additional Years, for a Maximum Possible Term of Service of Fourteen (14) Years Total, Not Beyond June 30, 2026, for the Disposal Division of the Solid Waste Department (Purchasing Office Procurement Solicitation No. 2012-011 Through 2012-012)**

Becky Caldwell, Solid Waste Director

Eric Stuckey noted the bidders present may want to speak at some point. The focus must be on what was submitted at bid time and not be construed as negotiation.

The solicitation for bids was to compare competitive unit pricing available from the private sector for municipal solid waste disposal services, either including or excluding transport services with pricing that has been offered to the City by Bi-County Solid Waste Landfill, a Tennessee local governmental agency. Bi-County Solid Waste was not a bidder. There were exceptions with each of the bidders.

Summary of deficiencies for each private sector bid:

BFI Waste Systems of TN, LLC (a/k/a, Republic Services, Allied Waste)

- BFI will not agree to handle or dispose of hazardous and/or special waste under this Agreement.
 - Staff notes that the City's specifications state, "City warrants that it does and will, during the term of service, including any extensions thereto, undertake reasonable efforts to exclude regulated hazardous waste and special waste from the municipal solid waste to be processed by the vendor pursuant to this procurement, in compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations." Therefore, staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does meet City specifications**.
- BFI requests that significant violations of the performance standard should be tied to the services provided under the Agreement (versus any violation of law that may be unrelated to the services) and should be a violation that is not cured within the applicable cure period.
 - Staff notes that the intent of the specifications was not to hold a service provider accountable for violation of law that may be unrelated to the services. Therefore, staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does**

meet City specifications.

- BFI requests City revise section 3 of the City's Indemnification Agreement
 - Staff notes that BFI submitted an executed CoF Indemnification Agreement without alteration. Therefore, staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does meet City specifications**.

 - BFI requests that Force Majeure provisions be added to the final contract.
 - Staff notes that, in response to an inquiry from one of the bidders during the period for questions, the City's specifications were revised to allow the service provider extra time to recover and resume meeting City's performance expectations in the event of tonnage in excess of 385 tons per City business day. Therefore, **staff recommends this exception be considered material**.

 - BFI's submittal is conditional upon terms and conditions to be negotiated.
 - Staff notes that municipal bids are not subject to negotiated terms and conditions. Therefore, **staff recommends this exception be considered material**.
-

Mr. Stuckey noted the statement that the submittal is conditional upon the terms and conditions to be negotiated was prominently placed. The statement somewhat negates what is in the bid. Alderman McLendon commented that it was not a bid, rather a negotiation, of which he was not supportive.

Bituminous Resources, Inc., d/b/a Hopkins County Regional Landfill, a subsidiary of Waste Connections, Inc.

- Hopkins County Regional Landfill states that the City's duty to load transfer trailers is "to legal capacity" rather than to within the weight limits of the law.
 - Staff speculates that this bidder did not mean to imply that the transfer trailers must be loaded to the exact legal capacity. Therefore, staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does meet City specifications**.

 - Hopkins County Regional Landfill will not accept any hazardous waste under this contract.
 - Staff notes that the City's specifications state, "City warrants that it does and will, during the term of service, including any extensions thereto, undertake reasonable efforts to exclude regulated hazardous waste and special waste from the municipal solid waste to be processed by the vendor pursuant to this procurement, in compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations." Therefore, staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does meet City specifications**.

 - Hopkins County Regional Landfill declines to provide certificate(s) of insurance for the indicated minimum types and limits of insurance coverage.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material**.

 - Hopkins County Regional Landfill requests a substitute provision in the event of cancelation or alteration of the insurance required by the City.
 - Staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does meet City specifications**.

 - Hopkins County Regional Landfill requests City revise section 12 ("Indemnification") of City's Standard Procurement Terms and Conditions.
 - Staff recommends this exception be considered a **clarification** as to the bidder's position on this matter, and that this exception in effect **does meet City specifications**.

 - Hopkins County Regional Landfill did not include with its bid City's Affidavit of Drug-Free Workplace, executed in full.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material**.
-

Mr. Stuckey commented on the implications of working with an out of state hauler and that it came in at a higher bid as well.

Waste Management, Inc. of Tennessee

- Waste Management requests that certain extensions to the term of service be my mutual consent rather than at the City's sole discretion.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material.**

- Waste Management requests that City may terminate service only in the event it does not have sufficient taxpayer funds to continue then current method of operation rather than at the City's sole discretion.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material.**

- Waste Management seeks CPI adjustments annually after the 5 year anniversary, and will not accept a CPI decrease if there was one year over year.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material.**

- Waste Management requires a fuel surcharge be in place if the City selects the West Camden Landfill bid for transportation and disposal services.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material.**

- The Waste Management bid for disposal services only at Cedar Ridge Landfill is contingent upon Cedar Ridge's receipt of a final and non-appealable permit to expand the Landfill.
 - **Staff recommends this exception be considered material.**

Mr. Stuckey commented that all three bids had substantial exceptions to reject the bids. He said that Brian Wilcox, Russ Truell, and Becky Caldwell had worked on this in great detail. Bi-County agreed to hold their offer open until the bids came in and a decision was made.

As to the proposed agreement with Bi-County, it was not specific relating to termination of the agreement. A revision was made by adding one sentence on page 6 of the Agreement, under XIII. General Terms, **2. Termination. City, in its sole discretion may choose to exercise an exclusive option to terminate the initial term of service, and any extension thereto, with or without cause, upon thirty (30) calendar days' notice to Bi-County.**

Discussion:

- Alderman Blanton said she was glad the bid process was done, but was disappointed in the outcome because of the human factor. She related she would not support the City getting out of the hauling business and was concerned about giving away more power to someone else.
- Eric Stuckey responded the City does have positions available in Solid Waste or in other departments for all four of the drivers that would be displaced. None of the bidders indicated they would do anything for the City employees. Bi-County did.
- Alderman Martin empathizes with the employees, but does support the change.
- Alderman Burger commented that ultimately the aldermen have a responsibility to the citizens to make the best decision for taxpayer dollars.
- Alderman Barnhill said the ratepayers need to know and understand the amount of money that would be saved each year by contracting with Bi-County. Projected annual savings over the next five years ranges from \$551,986 to \$937,971. Projected savings from FY 2013 through FY 2017 is \$3.87 million or an annual average of \$756,461, based on equipment replacement.

Comments from Bidder:

- Jerry Burke, Republic Services, and an Associate came forward. Mr. Burke stated he felt their bid offered the best scenario and distributed a sheet that he said shows the savings with their bid would be better than Bi-County. He said the blanket statement that the submittal is conditional upon the terms and conditions to be negotiated is in every bid they send out. Their legal department requires it.
 - ✓ Eric Stuckey responded the City had not seen that statement on previous bids.
- Per Mr. Burke, the exception regarding hazardous waste is the same as Bi-County. There is nothing in the bid that can't be discussed or negotiated. He again mentioned their legal department requirements. Mr. Burke said Bi-County cannot indemnify and there are Bi-County exceptions as well. Since Republic is the City's current vendor and he can save the City \$6 million over a 14-year term, and his exceptions, to him, seem apples to apples with Bi-County, he thinks they could do business with the City going forward. He wants the Board to consider that as he feels that is not being noted. He said his bid looks comparable to that of Bi-County.
 - ✓ Mr. Stuckey noted the hazardous waste wasn't taken as an exception. It was ruled a clarification. There were two material exceptions with the biggest being "submittal is conditional upon terms and conditions to be negotiated". Republic is the only bidder to state that and it is interpreted to mean everything is up for negotiation. The other material exception "Force Majeure provisions be added to the final contract" wasn't in the base bid and could affect the relationship under extraordinary conditions.
- Mr. Burke said they offered a 14 year proposal based on City terms and thought exceptions could be negotiated out and they are doing business with the City now. He didn't see why he couldn't continue to do business with the City under an agreement that is acceptable to both parties and save the citizens \$6 million over the term of the contract. He said he thought the mind had been made up and he hated that. He went on to say that just like the 2-year term the City is working under now would be able to negotiate that out.
- The Associate alluded to the City's Values and Guiding Principles and said under those they feel their offer is the best offer for the residents and the City itself with \$6.2 million over 14 years in savings. He said it perplexed him how the City could subject its residents to that type of fees when they don't have to.
 - ✓ Alderman McLendon asked how many tons above and beyond the norm were hauled in wake of the 2010 flood. Becky Caldwell did not have the exact number at hand, but said it was thousands of tons. Alderman McLendon asked what the rate would be under BFI's bid for that type of increased volume.
 - ✓ Ms. Caldwell responded that would have to be negotiated.
- The Associate said the point of the Force Majeure is to go ahead and discuss that with the City to see if it is allowed in and that is probably why it is an exception. He said it is no different than the current contract the City is under for services.
 - ✓ Becky Caldwell said the City found itself in this position because two years ago this went to bid with the attempt to get sludge and solid waste on the same calendar year. When the bids came in the tip fee went up 25% with Republic Services over night. Waste Management did honor the remainder of their contract for sludge services. So those actions from two years ago are one of the primary reasons we sit here today.
- The Associate said he fully understood and appreciated that but that he was the one that personally took the phone call from the City with regards to going out for an RFP in 2009. He said he requested that the City please look at extending the agreement because there was one

year left. He was told the City would not entertain that option. Once the City decided it was going out for an RFP they no longer wanted to entertain an extension. Republic submitted a very fair proposal for the economy at that time and were the lowest bid. The City continued a new agreement with Republic, but he offered to please go with the extension. If someone else had been lower the City would have gone with that bidder. To come in after the RFPs and ask for an extension, that couldn't happen.

- ✓ Ms. Caldwell asked why then would Waste Management honor that, but Republic would not. It was apples to apples and both had an opportunity to extend the contract. Republic chose not to and the City ended up with major increases to businesses and residents. The cost to haul sludge was and is at a lower rate than garbage disposal.
- ✓ Mr. Stuckey advised the figures in the Republic handout do not accurately reflect Bi-County terms because of inflation is included.
- The Associate wished to comment that in the first year based on Bi-County's rates of \$32 per ton and Republic rates of \$27, the first year with no CPIs is \$350,000. Extrapolate that out over 14 years to \$5 million worth of savings, no CPIs, and that's a savings to the residents and the City.

11.* Consideration of Inter-Local Agreement with Bi-County Solid Waste Management for Municipal Solid Waste Transport and Disposal Services for an Initial Term of Service of Two (2) Years, Effective July 1, 2012 and Through June 30, 2014, with Four (4) Options to Extend the Term of Service, Each Time for Up to Three (3) Additional Years, for a Maximum Possible Terms of Service of Fourteen (14) Years Total, Not Beyond June 30, 2026, for the Disposal Division of the Solid Waste Department (COF Contract #2012-0023)

Becky Caldwell, Solid Waste Director

Mr. Stuckey noted the addition to the contract was covered in the above discussion.

12.* Consideration of Professional Services Agreement (COF Contract No. 2012-0068) with Wiser Company for the Third Avenue North Roadway Construction Administration and Inspection Services at a Cost Not to Exceed \$649,255.00

13.* Consideration of Professional Services Agreement (COF Contract No. 2012-0069) with Wiser Company for the Third Avenue North Trail/Greenway Design at a Cost Not to Exceed \$99,925.00

David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

Paul Holzen, Interim Engineering Director

Items 12 and 13 were taken together. Currently the project has \$630,000 in TDOT/Federal Funding for the construction of a multi-use path system along the Harpeth River. State and Federal funds have slowed the project by requiring it go through the TDOT local programs process to include environmental review, ROW review and Design Review. To get the roadway constructed as soon as possible, staff determined it can be built in two phases. The first phase would be fully City funded and generally include the roadway, bridge, lighting, utilities, landscaping and irrigation. TDOT Local Programs required City staff to go through an RFQ process to select a consulting firm for the Construction Administration and Inspection Services associated with this project.

Alderman Petersen said a great deal of Third Avenue is in the floodway and that is of concern as is that a quarter of a mile of road will cost \$5 million. Discussion ensued.

14.* Consideration of RESOLUTION 2012-28, A Resolution to Approve the Standard Agreement for

Sanitary Sewer Service Line Backwater Valve Installation and to Authorize the Water Management Department Director to Enter into Such Agreements with Sanitary Sewer Customers and/or Property Owners

David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

Mark Hilty, Water Management Director

Water Management has had a number of inquiries from customers regarding the installation of backwater valve devices to reduce or eliminate occurrences of sanitary sewer backups. The proposed agreement would apply to backwater valves installed by the City during rehabilitation or replacement of public infrastructure in areas subject to sewer backwater issues and have experienced sewer backups. Installation of the value is decided by the customer, approved by the Water Management Department, unless the installation is required by code. Following installation by the City, the property owner becomes responsible for maintenance and replacement.

- 15.* **Consideration of Professional Services Agreement (COF Contract No. 2012-0076 with Sullivan Engineering, Inc. (SEI) for the Design of the McEwen Drive Temporary Connector Project at a Cost Not to Exceed \$185,830.00**

David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

Paul Holzen, Interim Engineering Director

Paul Holzen said the goal is to open bids in December 2012.

- 16.* **Consideration of Professional Services Agreement (COF Contract No. 2012-0078) with Sullivan Engineering, Inc. (SEI) for the Design of the South Carothers Parkway 2-Lane Project with Design Option as Directed by the Board**

David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

Paul Holzen, Interim Engineering Director

As requested by the Board, two options to consider:

- ✓ Option 1 - Two Lane Curb & Gutter and Single Sidewalk, Grading to support future 4-lanes
 - *Additional Design: \$262,970 (including \$34,640 Lighting Design Allowance)
 - *Construction Estimate: \$12,100,000 (Including \$500,000 Lighting Alternate)
 - *TOTAL: \$12,362,970 (Including \$534,640 for Lighting)

- ✓ Option 2 - Two Lane Ditch Section, No Sidewalk, Grading to support future 4-lanes
 - *Additional Design: \$406,350 (Including \$34,640 Lighting Design Allowance)
 - *Construction Estimate: \$11,000,000 (Including \$500,000 Lighting Alternate)
 - *TOTAL: \$11,406,350 (Including \$534,640 for Lighting)

Both alternatives utilize the northbound lanes of the ultimate 4-lane roadway; this will minimize future waste. Both alternatives can be designed and constructed with or without roadway lighting. While some modification is necessary for both alternative designs, much more is required for the open ditch section. Also, the open ditch alternative, while more affordable upfront, comes with some unique challenges. The ROW for this project was purchased with a 4-lane, curb and gutter typical section in mind. Modifying the design to allow for ditches may necessitate the purchase of additional construction and/or slope easements. The alternative would be to keep all slopes within existing ROW, which could potentially create maintenance difficulties (mowing steep slopes). Steep slopes and clear zone issues could require the use of guardrail along portion of the project.

Based on City Street Standards and other previous phases of Carothers Drive, Option 1 is

recommended. Also recommend BOMA authorize the City Administrator to enter into a standard PSA with SEI contingent on the approval of the City Engineer and City Attorney.

Discussion ensued on phasing, funding, and debt service in regard to this project.

Khris Pascarella, Pearl Street Partners, had questions about changing the design from 4-lane to 2-lane and curb and gutter vs. ditch. Mr. Parker and Mr. Holzen provided detailed explanations. Mr. Pascarella commented that curb and gutter is a cleaner look and that would be in the same mode as other roads.

17. Consideration of Professional Services Agreement (COF Contract No. 2012-0077) with Sullivan Engineering, Inc. (SEI) for the Design of the McEwen Drive Extension East of Wilson Pike Project at a Cost Not to Exceed \$345,400

**David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive
Paul Holzen, Interim Engineering Director**

Alderman Burger asked the Board to totally reconsider this project because it would be at the expense of McEwen Phase IV. She agrees with her constituents to design a green field, a design for those that live there now, not for future development.

Mr. Stuckey advised there is some funding for the McEwen IV design to be ready for right-of-way acquisition. It is important to be ready when the trigger is pulled for new development. Mr. Parker noted the City's agreement with Brentwood indicates that when half the funding from development is in place the City of Franklin will have 24 months to complete the road. If the City would wait to get the design the terms of the agreement could not be met.

Mr. Stuckey noted that this is not a trade-off for McEwen IV. Parts of McEwen IV have already been advanced and specific constructed components delivered.

18. Consideration of ORDINANCE 2011-12, An Ordinance to Establish Title 24 Special Assessment Districts

**David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive
Shauna Billingsley, City Attorney**

Mayor Moore called attention to the Assessment Districts Comparison page in the packet as to how special assessments have been done, and the ordinance that spells out what will be done in the future. Mr. Parker added there are three different types of assessments in the Tennessee Code Annotated and this ordinance closely follows wording in the code. There will be further discussion.

19. Consideration of Contract Award to Automated Collection Services, Inc. of Nashville, TN for Bad Debt Collection Services, for an Initial Term of Service of Three (3) Years, Effective Upon Acceptance by The City, With Two (2) Options to Extend the Term of Service, Each Time by Mutual Consent of Both Parties and for Up to One (1) Additional Year, for a Maximum Possible Term of Service of Five (5) Years Total, for The Revenue Management Division of The Administration Department (Purchasing Office Procurement Solicitation No. 2012-021; \$4,000 Budgeted in 110-82599-41200 (Court) and \$4,000 Budgeted in 110-82599-41310 (Revenue Management) for Fiscal Year 2012; COF Contract No. 2012-0071)

**Steve Sims, Assistant City Recorder & City Court Clerk
Clay Matthews, Interim Assistant City Recorder**

No discussion

20. Discussion of RFP for Five Points Property

Eric Stuckey, City Administrator

Russ Truell, ACA Finance & Administration

Mr. Stuckey asked what approach the Board would like to take on the underutilized portions of the Five Points building. Staff would like to do an RFP to see how those areas might best serve the purposes of the community. There have been inquiries. The Post Office and the Heritage Foundation will remain in the building. Board consensus was to issue an RFP.

21. Consideration of ORDINANCE 2012-07, To Be Entitled: "An Ordinance to Amend the Character Area and Development Standard Overlays of the City of Franklin Zoning Map"

Alderman Ann Petersen, FMPC Representative

No questions or comments

22. Consideration of ORDINANCE 2012-08, To Be Entitled: "An Ordinance to Amend Chapter 3, Section 3.5, Subsection 4,6, and 10 of The Franklin Zoning Ordinance, which Establishes Regulations for Character Area Overlay Districts and the Special Areas Therein"

Alderman Ann Petersen, FMPC Representative

No questions or comments

23. Consideration of ORDINANCE 2012-21, To Be Entitled: "An Ordinance to Rezone ± 15.81 Acres from General Commercial District (GC) To Civic and Institutional District (CI) for the Property Located at 124 Lumber Drive"

Alderman Ann Petersen, FMPC Representative

No questions or comments

ADJOURN

Work Session adjourned @ 7:04 p.m.

Dr. Ken Moore, Mayor

Minutes prepared by: Linda Fulwider, Board Recording Secretary, City Administrator's Office - 6/1/2012 11:14 AM